# ORIGINAL



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	jay@shapslawaz.com	er) Corp.
10	BEFORE THE ARIZONA COR	RPORATION COMMISSION
11		I
12	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF LIBERTY UTILITIES (BELLA VISTA	DOCKET NO: W-02465A-15-0367
13	WATER) CORP., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A	
14	DETERMINATIÓN OF THE FAIR VALUE	
15	OF ITS UTILITY PLANTS AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS	
16	WATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE BASED THEREON.	
17	IN THE MATTER OF THE ADDITION	DOCKETAYO WY 004654 15 0050
	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF LIBERTY UTILITIES (BELLA VISTA	DOCKET NO: W-02465A-15-0370
18	WATER) CORP., AN ARIŽONA CORPORATION, FOR AUTHORITY TO	
19	ISSUE EVIDENCE OF INDEBTEDNESS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED	
20	\$4,700,000.	
21	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	DOCKET NO: WS-02676A-15-0368
22	OF LIBERTY UTILITIES (RIO RICO WATER & SEWER) CORP., AN ARIZONA	
23	CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE	
24	OF ITS UTILITY PLANTS AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS	
25	WATER AND WASTEWATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE	
26	BASED THEREON.	

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF LIBERTY UTILITIES (RIO RICO WATER & SEWER) CORP., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR AUTHORITY TO ISSUE EVIDENCE OF INDEBTEDNESS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$8,900,000.

DOCKET NO: WS-02676A-15-0371

#### NOTICE OF FILING TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

As contemplated in § 5 of the Comprehensive Settlement Agreement, executed and filed on July 29, 2016, and in an effort to assist the Commission, Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. and Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water & Sewer) Corp. (collectively, "Liberty BV/RR") hereby submit the Testimony of Matthew Garlick in Support of Comprehensive Settlement Agreement. Liberty BV/RR urges the Commission to approve the Comprehensive Settlement Agreement as soon as possible.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2nd day of August, 2016.

SHAPIRO LAW/FIRM, P.C.

By:

Jay L. Shapiro 1819 E. Morten Avenue, Suite 280 Phoenix, AZ 85020 jay@shapslawaz.com whitney@shapslawaz.com

and

#### LIBERTY UTILITIES

Todd C. Wiley Assistant General Counsel 12725 W. Indian School Road, Suite D-101 Avondale, AZ 85392 Todd.Wiley@libertyutilities.com

Attorneys for Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp. and Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water & Sewer) Corp.

24

25

1	ORIGINAL and thirteen (13) copies
2	of the foregoing were delivered this 2nd day of August, 2016 to:
3	Docket Control
4	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
5	
6	COPY of the foregoing was hand-delivered this 2nd day of August, 2016 to:
7	Dwight Nodes, Chief Administrative Law Judge
8	Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission
9	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
10	COPY of the foregoing was emailed & hand-delivered
11	this 2nd day of August, 2016 to:
12	Robert Geake Bridget Humphrey Logal Division
13	Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
14	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
15	rgeake@azcc.gov bhumphrey@azcc.gov
16	COPY of the foregoing was emailed & mailed
17	this 2nd day of August, 2016 to:
18	Dan Pozefsky Residential Utility Consumer Office
19	1110 W. Washington Street Suite 220
20	Phoenix, AZ 85007 dpozefsky@azcc.gov
21	Greg Patterson
22	Munger Chadwick 916 West Adams Suite 3
23	Phoenix, AZ 85007 greg@azcpa.org
24	By: Whitney Bril
25	$\vee$

SHAPIRO LAW FIRM A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1	SHAPIRO LAW FIRM, P.C. Jay L. Shapiro (No. 014650)	
2	1819 E. Morten Avenue, Suite 280 Phoenix, Arizona 85020	
3	Telephone (602) 559-9575  jay@shapslawaz.com	
4	LIBERTY UTILITIES	
5	Todd C. Wiley (No. 015358) 12725 W. Indian School Road, Suite D-101	
6	Avondale, Arizona 85392 (623) 240-2087	
7	Todd.Wiley@libertyutilities.com	
8	Attorneys for Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water	er) Corp.
9	and Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water & Sewer)	Corp.
10	BEFORE THE ARIZONA COR	RPORATION COMMISSION
11		
12	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF LIBERTY UTILITIES (BELLA VISTA	DOCKET NO: W-02465A-15-0367
13	WATER) CORP., AN ARIŻONA CORPORATION, FOR A	
14	DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANTS AND	
15	PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS WATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR	
16	UTILITY SERVICE BASED THEREON.	
17	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	DOCKET NO: W-02465A-15-0370
18	OF LIBERTY UTILITIES (BELLA VISTA WATER) CORP., AN ARIZONA	
19	CORPORATION, FOR AUTHORITY TO ISSUE EVIDENCE OF INDEBTEDNESS IN	
20	AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$4,700,000.	
21	IN THE MATTER OF THE ARREST CATION	DOCUMENTO NO OCCUPA DE DOCO
22	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF LIBERTY UTILITIES (RIO RICO	DOCKET NO: WS-02676A-15-0368
23	WATER & SEWER) CORP., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A	
24	DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANTS AND	
25	PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS WATER AND WASTEWATER RATES	
26	AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE BASED THEREON.	
20		

SHAPIRO LAW FIRM
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF LIBERTY UTILITIES (RIO RICO DOCKET NO: WS-02676A-15-0371 WATER & SEWER) CORP., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR AUTHORITY TO ISSUE EVIDENCE OF INDEBTEDNESS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$8,900,000. TESTIMONY OF MATTHEW GARLICK IN SUPPORT OF COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT August 2, 2016 

SHAPIRO LAW FIRM A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Ţ		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2			
3	I.	INTRODUCTION	1
4	II.	THE COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT	1
5		A. Terms and Conditions	1
6		B. Shared Services, Cost Allocation Methodologies and the CAM	4
7	Ш.	CONCLUSION	7
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
~~			

SHAPIRO LAW FIRM A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1	<b>I.</b>	INTRODUCTION.
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is Matthew Garlick. My business address is 12725 W. Indian School Road,
4		Suite D-101, Avondale, Arizona 85392.
5	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS PROCEEDING?
6	A.	I am providing testimony on behalf of Liberty Utilities (Bella Vista Water) Corp.
7		("Liberty Bella Vista") and Liberty Utilities (Rio Rico Water & Sewer) Corp.
8	İ	("Liberty Rio Rico") (collectively "Liberty BV/RR" or "Applicants").
9	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
10	A.	I am employed by Liberty Utilities as President of AZ/TX.
11	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS TESTIMONY?
12	A.	To express Liberty BV/RR's support for the proposed Comprehensive Settlement
13		Agreement executed and filed on July 29, 2016 ("Comprehensive Settlement")
14		between Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Rio Rico, the Arizona Corporation Commission
15		Utilities Division Staff ("Staff"), the Residential Utility Consumer Office
16		("RUCO"), and the Western Infrastructure Sustainability Effort ("WISE")
17		(collectively, the "Parties").
18	Q.	HAVE THE PARTIES RESOLVED ALL ISSUES THAT WERE IN DISPUTE
19		BETWEEN THEM IN THE PREFILED TESTIMONY?
20	A.	Yes.
21	II.	THE COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT.
22		A. Terms and Conditions.
23	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THE COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT?
24	A.	To settle all issues that remain in dispute in our pending rate cases, Commission
25		Docket Nos. W-02465A-15-0367 and WS-02676A-15-0368, and in our pending
26		

SHAPIRO LAW FIRM A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

financing applications, Docket Nos. W-02465A-15-0370 and WS-02676A-15-0371. These four dockets were consolidated. The Parties have agreed to the determination of fair value rate base, establishment of a revenue requirement, and the setting of rates for water utility service by Liberty Bella Vista and water and sewer utility service by Liberty Rio Rico. The Parties also have agreed on several issues for this case and moving forward, including the Liberty Utilities Cost Allocation Manual ("CAM") and allocation methodologies, indirect overhead ("INDOH"), and treatment of incentive pay for local employees. I won't attempt to speak to every single issue that was resolved. But I can say that all of the critical issues, including the rate of return and the Fair Value Arizona Rate Making Model ("FARE") have been settled between the Parties.

### Q. WILL YOU PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE GENERAL RATEMAKING TERMS?

A. The Parties have agreed to a Fair Value Rate Base ("FVRB") of \$11,633,206 for Liberty Bella Vista, \$10,454,760 for Liberty Rio Rico's water division, and \$5,279,632 for Liberty Rio Rico's sewer division.¹ The Parties have agreed to a total revenue requirement of \$5,569,296 for Liberty Bella Vista, which revenue requirement results in an increase in revenues of \$958,954, or 20.80 percent.² The Parties have agreed to a total revenue requirement of \$3,886,431 for Liberty Rio Rico water, which revenue requirement results in an increase in revenues of \$814,262, or 26.50 percent.³ The Parties also have agreed to a total revenue requirement of \$1,640,590 for Liberty Rio Rico sewer, which revenue requirement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 5, ¶ 2.2, and Settlement Schedule B-1 (Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Rio Rico water and Liberty Rio Rico sewer).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 4, ¶ 2.1, and Settlement Schedule A-1 (Liberty Bella Vista).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Comprehensive Settlement at 4,  $\P$  2.1, and Settlement Schedule A-1 (Liberty Rio Rico water).

results in an increase in revenues of \$162,266, or 10.98 percent.<sup>4</sup> These revenue requirements are based on the Parties' agreement to a rate of return of 7.72 percent on FVRB, which return was determined using a 70 percent equity-30 percent debt capital structure, a cost of equity equal to 9.7 percent, and a cost of debt equal to 3.10 percent.<sup>5</sup>

### Q. YOU MENTIONED THAT THE DISPUTE OVER INCENTIVE PAY HAS BEEN RESOLVED?

A. Yes. The Parties have agreed to recognize 90 percent of the test year performance based compensation and incentive pay paid to local Liberty Utilities Service Corp. employees in this case and moving forward for our other Arizona utilities. We think this is a fair resolution of an issue that has been in dispute in other Liberty Utilities rate cases in a manner consistent with another recent Commission decision. Additionally, the Parties have agreed to include known and measurable 2015 and 2016 pay increases for Liberty Utilities Service Corp. employees that work in Arizona and provide labor and services to Liberty BV/RR. The Parties recognize these pay increases as a necessary and reasonable operating expense.

# Q. WHAT HAVE THE PARTIES WORKED OUT WITH REGARD TO THE PPAM AND PTAM?

A. The Parties agree that the PPAM is reasonable and should be approved. Liberty BV/RR is withdrawing the request for the PTAM as part of the compromise terms of the settlement.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 4, ¶ 2.1, and Settlement Schedule A-1 (Liberty Rio Rico sewer).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 8-9, ¶¶ 2.5.1-2.5.4, and Settlement Schedule D-1 (Liberty Bella Vista, Liberty Rio Rico water and Liberty Rio Rico sewer).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Epcor Water Arizona Inc., Decision No. 75268 (Docket No. WS-01303A-14-0010) at 31:19-28.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 10, ¶¶ 2.7.5-2.7.6.

#### Q. WHAT ABOUT THE FARE?

- A. Also as part of the compromise terms, Liberty BV/RR has agreed to set aside its request for the FARE at this time given the pending System Improvement Benefits Mechanism ("SIB") appeal before the Arizona Supreme Court. Should the court reinstate the SIB mechanism, the Parties agree that Liberty BV/RR may seek approval of SIBs for Liberty BV/RR.8
- Q. OKAY, ARE THERE ANY RATE DESIGN ISSUES YOU'D LIKE TO MENTION THAT HAVE BEEN RESOLVED?
- A. Yes, the Comprehensive Settlement reflects the Parties' agreement on the rate design, including reconnection costs for Liberty Rio Rico's sewer division. The Parties agree that Liberty Rio Rico sewer's charge for disconnection and reconnection for non-payment will be the actual cost, including costs for things such as excavation and trenching, pipeline modification, backfill and grading, road repairs and permitting. In addition, the Parties have agreed to resolve some confusion that arose out of Liberty BV/RR's attempt to implement Commission-approved low-income tariffs. 10
  - B. Shared Services, Cost Allocation Methodologies and the CAM.
- Q. MR. GARLICK, WOULD YOU PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE SETTLEMENT TERMS RELATED TO THE USE OF SHARED SERVICES AND THE COST ALLOCATIONS?
- A. Generally, the Parties agree that the use of shared services is appropriate and reasonable and that the resulting costs, including allocated corporate plant and INDOH in rate base, are reasonable and necessary costs of service for Liberty

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 14, ¶¶ 4.1-4.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 10, ¶ 2.7.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 8, ¶ 2.4.

\_\_

Utilities in Arizona.<sup>11</sup> That means we will not have to keep litigating the same issues in every rate case filed by Liberty Utilities in Arizona.

# Q. AS A REMINDER, WHAT ENTITIES DOES LIBERTY UTILITIES OPERATE IN ARIZONA?

- A. Besides Liberty BV/RR, Liberty Utilities (Litchfield Park Water & Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty Litchfield Park"), Liberty Utilities (Entrada Del Oro Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty EDO"), Liberty Utilities (Gold Canyon Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty Gold Canyon"), and Liberty Utilities (Black Mountain Sewer) Corp. ("Liberty Black Mountain").
- Q. DO THE PARTIES AGREE THAT THE CAM AND THE ALLOCATION OF COSTS TO LIBERTY BELLA VISTA AND LIBERTY RIO RICO RESULT IN A REASONABLE LEVEL OF ALLOCATED COSTS IN THIS RATE CASE?
- A. Yes. First, the Parties agree that customers do benefit from access to capital through the ultimate parent, APUC.<sup>12</sup> The Parties also specifically agree that the 2015 Algonquin Power & Utilities Corp. ("APUC") CAM (dated July 1, 2015), and the methodologies used in connection with the CAM are an acceptable and reasonable means of allocating shared costs, and that the cost levels used in the Settlement Schedules are reasonable costs of service.<sup>13</sup> These costs include (1) Legal Costs, (2) Tax Services, (3) Audit, (4) Investor Relations, (5) Director Fees and Insurance, (6) Licenses, (7) Escrow and Transfer Agent Fees, (8) Other Professional Services, and (9) Office Administration, which costs arise from shared services provided by APUC and Liberty Utilities Canada and are allocated to Liberty Utilities entities,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 10-13, § 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 11, ¶ 3.3.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 10, 11-12, ¶¶ 3.2, 3.3.1, 3.3.5.

including Liberty Bella Vista and Liberty Rio Rico. 14

# Q. SO ANY ALLOCATED COSTS ARE GOING TO BE VIEWED AS REASONABLE IN ANY RATE CASE?

A. No. What the Parties' agreement does is address the shared services model, the cost allocation methodologies and the current CAM, along with the resulting cost levels in this rate case and applied to pending and prospective applications for our other systems in Arizona. The language in the Comprehensive Settlement addresses all of these issues relating to the CAM. The Parties have agreed to approval of those allocation methodologies for Liberty BV/RR and the other Arizona utilities owned by Liberty Utilities. In future rate cases, Staff, RUCO, and other interveners will have the right to verify the costs incurred, and to make independent cost recommendations regarding recoverability of those costs.<sup>15</sup>

### Q. HOW DOES THE COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT RESOLVE THE INDOH ISSUE?

A. The Parties have agreed that it is appropriate to capitalize a portion of the costs incurred by APUC and Liberty Utilities Canada, and to record a portion of those costs as INDOH. The Parties agree that 21 percent capitalization of allowed costs is appropriate in this case, as well as the upcoming Liberty Litchfield Park rate case. For Liberty Bella Vista, the 21 percent amounts to \$666,727, for Liberty Rio Rico water \$326,264, and for Liberty Rio Rico sewer \$67,219. Additionally, Liberty Utilities has agreed to, on a going forward basis, conduct periodic time studies in order to ensure that the capitalized percentage is appropriate. The Parties have also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 11, ¶ 3.3.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 10, ¶ 3.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 5, 12, ¶¶ 2.2.3, 3.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 12, ¶ 3.5.

1		agreed that the costs of the local Engineering and Developmental Services	
2		departments located in Avondale should be capitalized and allocated between the	
3		utilities receiving the benefit of those services.18 The Parties have agreed that	
4		allocation INDOH based on monthly Construction Work in Progress (CWIP) is	
5		reasonable.	
6	Q.	WHAT ABOUT ALLOCATED CORPORATE PLANT?	
7	A.	Likewise, the Parties agree that the reasonable costs of shared plant should be	
8		allocated and capitalized. 19	
9	III.	CONCLUSION.	
10	Q.	ARE THERE ANY OTHER PROVISIONS OF THE COMPREHENSIVE	
11		SETTLEMENT THAT YOU WISH TO DISCUSS?	
12	A.	Not at this time.	
13	Q.	WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THAT COMMISSION APPROVAL OF THE	
14		TERMS OF THE COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT IS IN THE PUBLIC	
15		INTEREST?	
16	A.	All parties to the rate case have joined in settling and, collectively, the Parties	
17	,	represent all of the interested stakeholders. The Parties have settled all issues,	
18		including agreeing to all of the components of new rates for Liberty Bella Vista and	
19		Liberty Rio Rico. I urge the Commission to accept and approve the Comprehensive	
20		Settlement as soon as possible.	
21	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF THE	
22		COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT?	
23	A.	Yes.	
24			
25	18 Cor	<sup>18</sup> Comprehensive Settlement at 13, ¶ 3.6.	

26

 $^{19}$  Comprehensive Settlement at 12,  $\P$  3.4.